

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,931	03/15/2004	Manfred R. Koller	066666-0024	2332
* * *	7590 01/18/200 ', WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE, SUITE 700			GITOMER, RALPH J	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	3 MONTHS 01/18/2007 PAPER		PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence	10/801,931	KOLLER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Ralph Gitomer	1657		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Notes</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 87 is/are withdrawn fr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-86 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.			
<u> </u>	_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer are considered. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
	. ,	•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-86, in the reply filed on 11/9/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the searches are related. This is not found persuasive because the Groups are distinct for the reasons given.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-18, 22-36, 38, 39, 43-60, 64-77, 79-81, 85-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Koller.

Koller (6,642,018) entitled "Method for Inducing a Response in One or More Targeted Cells" teaches in column 6 lines 41-50, cells are grown on a surface, scanned, recorded, and a lethal dose is applied. In column 8 specific cells are identified with antibodies. In column 10 lines 21-24, cells expressing a label or lacking a label are identified. Different wavelengths can be used. In column 24 Example 8, cells that generate a product are isolated are identified with a label for the product and desired cells are targeted with a laser to kill them.

All of the features of the claims are taught by Koller for the same function as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,931

Art Unit: 1657

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19-21, 37, 40-42, 61-63, 78, 82-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koller.

See the teachings of Koller above.

The claims differ from Koller in that they recite parameters of lethal radiation to kill selected cells.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to irradiate cells with a selected lethal dose of radiation because Koller teaches lethal doses of radiation to kill cells and to select any known dose of radiation that would kill cells would have the expected result. No novelty is seen in the claimed doses.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,931

Art Unit: 1657

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the following applies in all occurrences.

In claim 1 line 1 directed to a method for purifying, may be intended to include "from a population of cells" after "selected cells". In claim 1(a) and all occurrences, "capable of" does not state what actually occurs. In claim 1(e) "the non-selected cells" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 3 and all occurrences, "selected from" may be improper Markush terminology. In claim 85 line 1, "the product" lacks antecedent basis.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Spack (6,218,132) teaches teaches in column 8 cell assays.

Palsson (6,534,308) teaches targeting cells.

Eisfeld (7,092,557, 6,804,385) teach targeting cells.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,931 Page 5

Art Unit: 1657

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ralph Gitomer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1657

RALPH GITOWE PRIMARY EXAME: 5-GROUP 1200